

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

SERIAL NUMBER FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATT	TH TENSUE HEMBUTTA	
	CHOU	Т	089166/0107	
020306 HM22/1101 MCDONNELL BOEHNEN HULBERT & BERGHOFF		FOX, D		
300 SOUTH WACKER DRIVE SUITE 3200 CHICAGO IL 60606		1638	30	
		 : • * 6/ • 1	11/01/01	

Below is a communication from the EXAMINER in charge of this application COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

ADVISORY ACTION

		ADVISORT ACTION		
THE PERIOD	FOR RESPONSE:			
a) 🔲 is extende	d to run	or continues to run	from the date of the final rejection	
b) expires the	ree months from the date of rever, will the statutory perio	the final rejection or as of the maili d for the response expire later than	ng date of this Advisory Action, whichever is later. In a six months from the date of the final rejection.	no
The date	on which the response, the p	petition, and the fee have been file extension and the corresponding a	i 1.136(a), the proposed response and the appropriate d is the date of the response and also the date for the amount of the fee. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 Cory period for response or as set forth in b) above.	1
Appellant's Br	ief is due in accordance with	4 4 .		
Applicant's re-	sponse to the final rejection, pplication in condition for all		n considered with the following effect, but it is not deen	med
			entered and the final rejection stands because:	
	re is no convincing showing sented.	under 37 CFR 1.116(b) why the pa	roposed amendment is necessary and was not earlier	
b. The	y raise new issues that wou	ld require further consideration and	Vor search. (See Note).	
c. 🔲 The	ey raise the issue of new ma	tter. (See Note).		
	ey are not deemed to place peal.	the application in better form for a	opeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for	or
e. 🔲 Th	ey present additional claims	without cancelling a corresponding	number of finally rejected claims.	
NOTE: <u>I</u>	ven issues: It is pecute "the larks an tece Failure to so	Leter or ver dent basis in malify: 112 lst, would be allow	39: amendment of Tory of (c)(i) and (c)(clayor 39: art rejections remains. ved if submitted in a separately filed amendment cancer	fect(h,
the non-a	llowable claims.			
3. Upon the be as foll	filing an appeal, the proposows:	ed amendment 🔲 will be entered	will not be entered and the status of the daims w DAVID T. FOX PRIMARY EXAMINE	
Claims a			GROUP 188 //	338
	pjected to: 4-5	18-45,47-106,108		9
	However; גיס פען	ld have	2 2nd claims 39,103	and
☑ Appl	icant's response bas overco	me the following rejection(s):	ependents; errors in che	an 102.
4. The affid	e duplica	the of their	but does not overcome the rejection because ac PRICUSTY JULIAN HE Re Lindar Cited put	e of Subusiy
 The affidence of the presenter 	avit or exhibit will not be con d.	sidered because applicant has not	shown good and sufficent reasons why it was not ear	lier
☐ The proposed	drawing correction ha	as has not been approved by	the examiner.	. 🗸
Other Am	H ef 4/13/99	taxed repair	ately per request. I'm	ality
Dother Ambt of 4/13/99 faxed separately per request. Finality extens of 8/8/01 proper, since 112 1st re transformation method waised in prior action of 2/27/01, and Examines's rebutted we processing passed on comments previously raised by Applicants.				
Y PTOL-303 (REV. 5-6	pner acris	Ennenty previo	usly raised by Applica	ents,